| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 10/648,038 | ANDREEV ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mujtaba K. Chaudry | 2133 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Mujtaba K. Chaudry</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>David Brush</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 June 2006 | Time: 4pm est. | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: na/ | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-18 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An agreement was reached with regards to a restriction. See Office Action attached. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| UNUL 6/8/06 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature - if appropriate) |